

$f_x(a, b)$ and $f_y(a, b)$ exist.

We also give a sufficient condition for differentiability at a point here.

Theorem 140.3 Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$

If (a, b) be a point of domain of definition of f

such that

(i) f_y is continuous at (a, b)

(ii) f_x exists at (a, b) ,

then f is differentiable at (a, b)

Proof: By hypothesis, there exists a neighbourhood $N((a, b), \delta)$ in which f and f_y are defined. We take $h, k; h^2 + k^2 \neq 0$, so that $(a+h, b+k), (a, b) \in N((a, b), \delta)$

We take

$$f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) = \left[f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b) \right] + \left[f(a+h, b) - f(a, b) \right]$$

$$\text{As } f_x(a, b) \text{ exists, } \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h, b) - f(a, b)}{h} = f_x(a, b)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f(a+h, b) - f(a, b)}{h} - f_x(a, b) = \epsilon_1 \text{ where } \epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow f(a+h, b) - f(a, b) = h(f_x(a, b) + \epsilon_1) \text{ where } \epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0$$

Let $f(a+h, y) = g(y)$. Then $f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b) = g(b+k) - g(b)$

As f_y exists in $N((a, b), \delta)$, g is derivable in $[b, b+k]$

in $[b, b+k]$.

By Lagrange's mean value theorem, $\exists \theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$g(b+k) - g(b) = k g'(b+\theta k)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(a+h, b+k) - f(a+h, b) = k f_y(a+h, b+\theta k)$$

Consequently

$$f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) = h f_x(a, b) + \epsilon_1 h + k f_y(a, b) + \epsilon_2 k$$

As f_y is continuous at (a, b)

$$\lim_{(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)} f_y(a+h, b+k) = f_y(a, b)$$

So, if $f_y(a+h, b+k) - f_y(a, b) = \epsilon_2(h, k)$, then

$$\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } (h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$$

Hence we get

$$f(a+h, b+k) - f(a, b) = h f_x(a, b) + k f_y(a, b) + \epsilon_1 h + \epsilon_2 k$$

where $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$

Hence f is differentiable at (a, b)

Note 1: If f is not differentiable at (a, b) , the partial derivatives can not be continuous at (a, b)

Note 2: The condition of continuity of one of the partial derivatives at the point is not necessary for differentiability of the function at that point

For Example, let $f(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x} + y^2 \sin \frac{1}{y}, & xy \neq 0 \\ x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0, y = 0 \\ y^2 \sin \frac{1}{y}, & x = 0, y \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0, y = 0 \end{cases}$

As $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \sin \frac{1}{t} = 0$, so $f_x(0, 0) = 0 = f_y(0, 0)$

If we take $\phi(h, k) = h \sin \frac{1}{h}$, $h \neq 0$ and $= 0$, $h = 0$

and $\psi(h, k) = k \sin \frac{1}{k}$, $k \neq 0$ and $= 0$, $k = 0$

Then $\phi \rightarrow 0$, $\psi \rightarrow 0$ as $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$

$$\text{So, } f(h, k) - f(0, 0) = h \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} + k \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k} \\ = h \phi + k \psi$$

where $\phi \rightarrow 0$ and $\psi \rightarrow 0$ as $(h, k) \rightarrow (0, 0)$

So, $f(x, y)$ is differentiable at $(0, 0)$

$$\text{Hence } f_x(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2x \sin \frac{1}{x} - \cos \frac{1}{x}, & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f_y(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2y \sin \frac{1}{y} - \cos \frac{1}{y}, & y \neq 0 \\ 0, & y = 0 \end{cases}$$

So, Both f_x and f_y are discontinuous at $(0, 0)$ as

$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \cos \frac{1}{t}$ does not exist.

Chapin's Rule

Definition If $f(x, y)$ be a differentiable function,

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy$ is the total differential of f and denoted by df .

Examples 1. Find $df(1, 2)$ where $f(x, y) = x^2 + xy + y^2 - 4 \log x - 10 \log y$

$$\text{Soln: } \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 2x + y - \frac{4}{x}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x + 2y - \frac{10}{y}$$

$$\text{At } (1, 2) \quad f_x = 0, \quad f_y = 0. \quad \text{So, } df(1, 2) = 0$$

2. Show that expression $(3x+y)dx + (x+3y)dy$ is a total differential of some function

$$\text{Solution: Let } du(x, y) = (3x+y)dx + (x+3y)dy$$

$$\text{As } du = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy, \quad \text{So } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 3x+y, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = x+3y$$

$$\text{From the first, } u = \frac{3x^2}{2} + xy + \phi(y) \Rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = x + \phi'(y) = x + 3y$$

$$\text{So, } \phi(y) = \frac{3y^2}{2} + c, \quad c \text{ is a real constant}$$

$$\text{Hence } u(x, y) = \frac{3x^2}{2} + xy + \frac{3y^2}{2} + c$$

Chain rule

Theorem 1.10.4 Let (i) $x = \phi(u, v)$, $y = \psi(u, v)$ be two functions of u, v defined in a domain $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and differentiable at point (u, v) of S (ii) $z = f(x, y)$ be defined on $S_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ and differentiable at (x, y) of S_1 (iii) S_1 be the image set of S , then z , defined as a function of u, v , is differentiable at the corresponding point (u, v)

Proof: As $z = f(x, y)$ is differentiable,

$$\Delta z = f(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y) - f(x, y) = f_x \cdot \Delta x + f_y \cdot \Delta y + \epsilon_1 \Delta x + \epsilon_2 \Delta y \quad \dots (1)$$

where $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow 0, \epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow (0, 0)$.

As $x = \phi(u, v)$, $y = \psi(u, v)$ are differentiable

$$\Delta x = \phi(u + \Delta u, v + \Delta v) - \phi(u, v) = \phi_u \cdot \Delta u + \phi_v \cdot \Delta v + \epsilon_3 \Delta u + \epsilon_4 \Delta v \quad \dots (2)$$

where $\epsilon_3 \rightarrow 0, \epsilon_4 \rightarrow 0$ as $(\Delta u, \Delta v) \rightarrow (0, 0)$

$$\Delta y = \psi(u + \Delta u, v + \Delta v) - \psi(u, v) = \psi_u \cdot \Delta u + \psi_v \cdot \Delta v + \epsilon_5 \Delta u + \epsilon_6 \Delta v \quad \dots (3)$$

where $\epsilon_5 \rightarrow 0, \epsilon_6 \rightarrow 0$ as $(\Delta u, \Delta v) \rightarrow (0, 0)$

Consequently, by (1), (2) and (3)

$$\Delta z = (f_x + \epsilon_1)(\phi_u \Delta u + \phi_v \Delta v + \epsilon_3 \Delta u + \epsilon_4 \Delta v) + (f_y + \epsilon_2)(\psi_u \Delta u + \psi_v \Delta v + \epsilon_5 \Delta u + \epsilon_6 \Delta v)$$

$$= (f_x \phi_u + f_y \psi_u) \Delta u + (f_x \phi_v + f_y \psi_v) \Delta v + \{f_x \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_1 \phi_u + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 + f_y \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_2 \psi_u + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_5\} \Delta u +$$

$$\{f_x \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_1 \phi_v + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 + f_y \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_2 \psi_v + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_6\} \Delta v$$

$$= (f_x \phi_u + f_y \psi_u) \Delta u + (f_x \phi_v + f_y \psi_v) \Delta v + \epsilon_7 \Delta u + \epsilon_8 \Delta v$$

where $\epsilon_7 = f_x \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_1 \phi_u + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 + f_y \epsilon_5 + \epsilon_2 \psi_u + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_5$

and $\epsilon_8 = f_x \epsilon_4 + \epsilon_1 \phi_v + \epsilon_1 \epsilon_4 + f_y \epsilon_6 + \epsilon_2 \psi_v + \epsilon_2 \epsilon_6$