

$$M_k'' = \sup_{x \in [y, x_k]} f(x) \quad m_k'' = \inf_{x \in [y, x_k]} f(x)$$

$$\text{Then } m \leq m_k \leq m_k' \leq M_k' \leq M_k \leq M$$

$$\text{Also } m \leq m_k \leq m_k'' \leq M_k'' \leq M_k \leq M$$

$$U(P, f) - U(P_1, f) = M_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - [M_k'(y - x_{k-1}) + M_k''(x_k - y)]$$

$$= (M_k - M_k')(y - x_{k-1}) + (M_k - M_k'')(x_k - y).$$

Since $0 \leq M_k - M_k' \leq M - m$ and $0 \leq M_k - M_k'' \leq M - m$, it follows

$$\text{that } 0 \leq U(P, f) - U(P_1, f) \leq (M - m) [(y - x_{k-1}) + (x_k - y)] \\ \leq (M - m) \delta, \text{ since } (x_k - x_{k-1}) \leq \delta$$

Similarly, we can prove that $0 \leq L(P_1, f) - L(P, f) \leq (M - m) \delta$

By introducing k additional ~~to~~ points one by one in the partition P , we obtain the partition P_k and it follows that

$$0 \leq U(P, f) - U(P_k, f) \leq (M - m) k \delta,$$

$$0 \leq L(P_k, f) - L(P, f) \leq (M - m) k \delta.$$

Lemma 1.4.2 Let a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded on

$[a, b]$ and P, Q any two partitions of $[a, b]$. Then

$$L(P, f) \leq U(Q, f) \quad ; \quad L(Q, f) \leq U(P, f)$$

Proof: For any partition P , we know that $L(P, f) \leq U(P, f)$.

Now let $S = P \cup Q$. Then S is a refinement of P as

well as a refinement of Q

So, by Lemma 1.4.1 $L(P, f) \leq L(S, f) \leq U(S, f) \leq U(P, f)$

$$\text{and } L(Q, f) \leq L(S, f) \leq U(S, f) \leq U(R, f)$$

$$\text{So, } L(P, f) \leq L(S, f) \leq U(S, f) \leq U(R, f)$$

$$\text{and } L(Q, f) \leq L(S, f) \leq U(S, f) \leq U(P, f)$$

So, the result follows.

Note: The lemma states that for any two partitions in $\mathcal{P}[a, b]$, the lower sum corresponding to one does not exceed the upper sum corresponding to the other.

Theorem 1.43 Let a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded on $[a, b]$.

$$\text{Then } \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Proof: Let P, Q be any two partitions of $[a, b]$.

Then $L(P, f) \leq U(Q, f)$. Keeping Q fixed, this

inequality holds for every partition $P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$.

So, $U(Q, f)$ is an upper bound of the set $\{L(P, f) : P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$.

But the least upper bound of the set $\{L(P, f) : P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$ is

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx. \text{ So, } \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(Q, f)$$

This holds for all partition $Q \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]$. So, $\int_a^b f(x) dx$

is a lower bound of the set $\{U(Q, f) : Q \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$.

But the greatest lower bound is $\int_a^b f(x) dx$. So,

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Note: $M_m(b-a) \leq L(P, f) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(P, f) \leq M(b-a)$

$$\text{So, } m(b-a) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq M(b-a)$$

Theorem 1.4.4 (Darboux's theorem)

Let $[a, b]$ be a closed and bounded interval and a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded on $[a, b]$. Then

(i) to every $\epsilon > 0$ ~~there~~ \exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$U(P, f) < \int_a^b f(x) dx + \epsilon \text{ for all partitions } P \text{ of } [a, b]$$

such that $\|P\| < \delta$;

(ii) to every $\epsilon > 0$ ~~there~~ \exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$L(P, f) > \int_a^b f(x) dx - \epsilon \text{ for all partitions } P \text{ of } [a, b]$$

such that $\|P\| < \delta$

Proof: Since f is bounded on $[a, b]$, \exists a positive real number

B such that $|f(x)| \leq B$ for all $x \in [a, b]$

Let $M = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$ and $m = \inf_{x \in [a, b]} f(x)$

Then $-B \leq m \leq M \leq B$. So, $M - m \leq 2B$.

Since $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ is the infimum of the set

$\{U(P, f) : P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, \exists a partition

Q of $[a, b]$ such that $U(Q, f) < \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$... (1)

Let $Q = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and let $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4B(n-1)}$.

Let P be any partition of $[a, b]$ such that $\|P\| < \delta$.

Let $P' = P \cup Q$. Then P' is a refinement of P by

adjoining additional points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} at most.

So, $0 \leq U(P, f) - U(P', f) \leq (M - m)(n-1)\delta \leq 2B(n-1)\delta$,

by Lemma 1.4.1 or, $U(P, f) < U(P', f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Since P' is also a refinement of Q ,

$$U(P', f) \leq U(Q, f)$$

It follows that $U(P, f) \leq U(P', f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq U(Q, f) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

$$Q < \int_a^b f(x) dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \left[\text{from (1)} \right]$$

(ii) Since f is bounded on $[a, b]$,

Since $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ is the supremum of the set

$\{L(P, f) : P \in \mathcal{P}[a, b]\}$, for given $\epsilon > 0 \exists$ a $P \in \mathcal{P}$

partition R of $[a, b]$ such that

$$L(R, f) > \int_a^b f(x) dx - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Let $R = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and let $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{4B(n-1)}$

Let P be any partition of $[a, b]$ such that $\|P\| \leq \delta$

Let $P' = P \cup R$. Then P' is a refinement of P

by adjoining $(n-1)$ additional points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} at most.

So, $0 \leq L(P', f) - L(P, f) \leq (n-1)(\delta) \leq 2(n-1)B\delta,$

by Lemma 1-4.1

$$\text{or, } L(P, f) \geq L(P', f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Since P' is also a refinement of R ,

$L(P', f) \geq L(R, f)$. It follows that

$$L(P, f) \geq L(R, f) - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \geq \int_a^b f(x) dx - \epsilon$$