

any partition P of $[a, b]$,

$$L(P, f) \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(P, f)$$

That is,
$$\int_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(P, f) - L(P, f)$$

for any partition P of $[a, b]$

Let us choose $\epsilon > 0$. By the condition, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that for all partition P of $[a, b]$ satisfying

$$\|P\| \leq \delta, \quad U(P, f) - L(P, f) < \epsilon \text{ holds.}$$

Therefore, \exists a partition, say, P_ϵ of $[a, b]$ such that $\|P_\epsilon\| \leq \delta$ and $U(P_\epsilon, f) - L(P_\epsilon, f) < \epsilon$

Also, we have $\int_a^b f(x) dx \geq \int_a^b f(x) dx$ by Theorem 1.4.3.

Therefore,
$$0 \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx - \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq U(P_\epsilon, f) - L(P_\epsilon, f) < \epsilon.$$

This holds for each positive number ϵ . It follows

that $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$ and hence f is integrable on $[a, b]$.

This completes the proof.

1.5. Concept of Negligible set (or zero set) (or a set of measure zero)

1.5.1. Definition: A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Negligible

set or zero set or a set of measure zero if for each $\epsilon > 0$

\exists a ~~finite~~ countable collection of open intervals $\{I_n\}$

such that $S \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_n| < \epsilon$ where

$$|I_n| = b_n - a_n = \text{length of the open interval } I_n (= \mathcal{I}(a_n, b_n))$$

Examples: 1. Let B be a negligible set and $A \subset B$.

We show that A is also negligible set.

As B is a negligible set, \exists a countable collection of intervals $\{I_n\}$ such that $B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_n| < \epsilon$ for each $\epsilon > 0$.

As $A \subset B$ So, for the countable collection $\{I_n\}$,

$$A \subset B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_n| < \epsilon, \quad \text{for each } \epsilon > 0.$$

So, A is negligible.

2. A finite set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a negligible set

$$\text{Let } S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Consider the open interval

$$I_r = \left(x_r - \frac{\epsilon}{2(n+1)}, x_r + \frac{\epsilon}{2(n+1)} \right). \quad \text{So, } x_r \in I_r, \quad r=1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } S &\subset \bigcup_{r=1}^n I_r \quad \text{and} \quad |I_1| + |I_2| + \dots + |I_n| \\ &= n \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{n+1} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

So, S is covered by a finite collection of open intervals such that the sum of the length of the intervals is less than ϵ , proving that S is a negligible set.

3. Let $A_k, k=1, 2, \dots$, be a countable collection of negligible sets. Let $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$. Then we show

that A is a negligible set. As $A_k, k=1, 2, \dots$

are negligible set \exists collection of open intervals

$$\{I_{nk}\}_n \text{ such that } A_k \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{nk} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_{nk}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^k}$$

for each $\epsilon > 0, k=1, 2, \dots$

Now consider the collection $\{I_{nk} : n=1, 2, \dots, k=1, 2, \dots\}$

open intervals.

Then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{nk}$ $\left[\text{As } A_k \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{nk}, k=1, 2, \dots \right]$

$$\text{Also } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |I_{nk}| < \infty \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots \right]$$

$$= \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = \epsilon$$

So, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ is a negligible set.

4. A countable subset of \mathbb{R} is a negligible set.

Let S be a countable set. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. For each r , let $I_r = \left(x_r - \frac{\epsilon}{2^{r+2}}, x_r + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{r+2}} \right)$

Then $x_r \in I_r, r=1, 2, \dots$

Then $S \subset \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} I_r$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} |I_r| &= \frac{\epsilon}{2^2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2^3} + \dots \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{2^2} \cdot 2 = \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

So, S is a negligible set.

Corollary: Since \mathbb{Q} , the set of all rational numbers

is a countable set, it is a negligible set.

5. Let S be a bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R}

having a finite number of limit points. Then S is a negligible set.

Let the limit points of S be x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m

$$\text{let } I_r = \left(x_r - \frac{\delta_r}{2}, x_r + \frac{\delta_r}{2} \right), \quad r=1, 2, \dots, m$$

and choose $\delta_r, r=1, 2, \dots, m$ such that

$$\sum_{r=1}^m \delta_r < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Outside the open intervals $I_r, r=1, 2, \dots, m$ there lie at most a finite number of points of S and these can be covered by a finite number of open intervals, the sum of whose lengths is less than $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$

Considering the two finite families of open intervals, S is covered by a countable collection of open intervals, the sum of whose lengths is less than ϵ

Therefore S is a negligible set.

In this respect, we state a theorem of Lebesgue without proof:

1.5.2. Theorem: A bounded function on closed and bounded interval is Riemann integrable if and only if the set of points of discontinuity is negligible.