

Correction of error in result 7 of previous page (page-12) :

Upto $(So, p o g \in H)$ is correct. After that cross ~~out~~ or delete the next portion and write this :

$$\text{Let } p \in H \Rightarrow p o g = g o p \text{ for all } g \in G$$

$$\text{Hence } p^{-1} o (p o g) o p^{-1} = p^{-1} o (g o p) o p^{-1}$$

$$\text{or, } (p^{-1} o p) o (g o p^{-1}) = (p^{-1} o g) o (p o p^{-1})$$

$$\text{or, } e o (g o p^{-1}) = (p^{-1} o g) o e \quad (e \text{ is the identity element in } G)$$

$$\text{or, } g o p^{-1} = p^{-1} o g \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

$$\text{So, } p^{-1} \in H$$

$$\text{So, } p \in H \Rightarrow p^{-1} \in H$$

Hence H is a subgroup of G .

Cyclic subgroups generated by an element :

Let (G, o) be a group a be an element in G . Let H be the subset of G defined by $H = \{a^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

\uparrow we define
Here $a^0 = e$, e is the identity element in G .

$$\text{and } a^n = \underbrace{a o a o \dots o a}_{n \text{ times}} \text{ when } n \text{ is a positive integer}$$

$$\text{and } \text{if } n = -m \text{ where } m \text{ is a positive integer} \\ \text{then } a^n = \underbrace{a^{-1} o a^{-1} o \dots o a^{-1}}_{m \text{ times}}$$

So here H is the subset of G containing all integral powers of a

H is non-empty as $a \in H$

Let $p, q \in H$. Then $p = a^r, q = a^s$ for some integers r and s
Now $p o q = a^{r+s} \in H$, since $r+s$ is an integer

Also $p^{-1} = a^{-r} \in H$, since $-r$ is an integer

$$\text{So, } p, q \in H \Rightarrow p o q \in H$$

$$\text{and } p^{-1} \in H \Rightarrow p^{-1} \in H$$

So H is a subgroup of G . This subgroup is

denoted by $\langle a \rangle$. $\langle a \rangle$ is also a commutative subgroup of G . Let $p, q \in \langle a \rangle \Rightarrow p = a^r, q = a^s, r, s$ are integers

$$\text{now } p \circ q = a^r \circ a^s = a^{r+s} \quad \text{and } q \circ p = a^s \circ a^r = a^{s+r}$$

Since r and s are integers, $r+s = s+r$. So, $p \circ q = q \circ p$

So $\langle a \rangle$ is a commutative subgroup of G .

Example: Let $G = \{1, -1, i, -i\}$. Then G is a group with respect to complex multiplication.

$$\text{Here } \langle 1 \rangle = \{1\}$$

$$\langle i \rangle = \{1, -1, i, -i\} = G \quad \text{as } i^2 = -1, i^3 = -i, i^4 = 1$$

$$\langle -1 \rangle = \{1, -1\}$$

$$\langle -i \rangle = \{1, -1, i, -i\} = G.$$

Ring: Definition: A non-empty set R is to form a ring with respect to ^{two} binary operations denoted by '+' and '·' defined on it, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $(R, +)$ is a commutative group,

(2) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup and

(3) For any three elements $a, b, c \in R$,

$$a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c \quad (\text{left distributive law})$$

$$\text{and } (b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a \quad (\text{right distributive law})$$

Therefore a non-empty set R , with respect to two binary operations denoted by '+' and '·' defined on it, is a ring if

(i) $a+b \in R$ for all $a, b \in R$,

(ii) $a+(b+c) = (a+b)+c$ for all a, b, c in R ,

(iii) there exists an element, denoted by 0 , in R such that $a+0 = 0+a = a$ for all $a \in R$,

(iv) for each element a in R there exists an element, denoted by $-a$ in R such that $a+(-a) = (-a)+a = 0$,

(v) $a+b = b+a$ for all a, b in R ,

(vi) $a \cdot b \in R$ for all a, b in R ,

(vii) $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$ for all a, b, c in R ,

(viii) $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ and $(b+c) \cdot a = b \cdot a + c \cdot a$ for all a, b, c in R .

The ring R is denoted by $(R, +, \cdot)$ or R when no confusion arises regarding the underlying binary operations.

Note: Condition (i) to (v) gives $(R, +)$ a commutative group and (vi) and (vii) gives (R, \cdot) is a semigroup and (viii) gives the two distributive laws.

R is said to be a commutative ring if $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$ for all a, b in R . In this case, the two distributive laws state the same thing and it is said to be the distributive law.

The additive identity element in R is called the zero element in R .

An element e in R is said to be a multiplicative identity in R

if $e \cdot a = a \cdot e = a$ for all a in R . R may or may not contain

a multiplicative identity. If however, such an element exists

in R , it is unique and it is said to be the unity in R and

R is called a ring with unity. The unity is denoted by 1 .

Examples 1. $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity

2. $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity

3. $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity

4. $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with unity

Note 1. Here \mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers, \mathbb{Q} is the set of all rational numbers, \mathbb{R} is the set of all real numbers and \mathbb{C} is the set of all complex numbers.

2. '+' and \cdot in 1 to 3 is usual addition and usual multiplication in real numbers and '+' and \cdot in 4 is complex ^{number} addition and multiplication.

5. $(2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring. It is a ring without unity.

6. Let $M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all 2×2 ^{real} matrices. Let '+' be matrix ~~matrix~~ addition and \cdot be the matrix multiplication. Then $(M_2(\mathbb{R}), +, \cdot)$ is a ring with unity. $I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is the unity in the ring. This is a non-commutative ring.

Theorem 1: In a ring $(R, +, \cdot)$

(i) $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$ for all $a \in R$, 0 being the zero element in R ,

(ii) $a \cdot (-b) = (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$ for all $a, b \in R$

(iii) $(-a) \cdot (-b) = a \cdot b$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Proof: (i) we have $a \cdot 0 = a \cdot (0+0)$
 $= a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0$ (left distributive law)

Now $-(a \cdot 0) \in R$. Adding $-(a \cdot 0)$ to both sides we have

$$-(a \cdot 0) + a \cdot 0 = -(a \cdot 0) + (a \cdot 0 + a \cdot 0)$$

$$\text{or, } 0 = (-(a \cdot 0) + a \cdot 0) + a \cdot 0 \quad (\text{addition is associative})$$

$$\text{or, } 0 = 0 + a \cdot 0$$

$$\text{or, } 0 = a \cdot 0$$

Likewise, $0 \cdot a = (0 + 0) \cdot a$

$$= 0 \cdot a + 0 \cdot a \quad (\text{right distributive law})$$

$-(0 \cdot a) \in R$. Adding $-(0 \cdot a)$ to both sides and proceeding as before

$$\text{we have } 0 = 0 \cdot a$$

So, combining we have $a \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot a = 0$ for all $a \in R$.

(ii) we have $(b + (-b)) = 0$

$$\text{So, } a \cdot (b + (-b)) = a \cdot 0 = 0 \quad \text{by (i)}$$

$$\text{or, } a \cdot b + a \cdot (-b) = 0 \quad (\text{left distributive law})$$

$-(a \cdot b) \in R$. Adding $-(a \cdot b)$ to both sides we have

$$-(a \cdot b) + (a \cdot b + a \cdot (-b)) = -(a \cdot b) + 0$$

$$\text{or, } (-(a \cdot b) + a \cdot b) + a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b) \quad (+ \text{ is associative})$$

$$\text{or, } 0 + a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b)$$

$$\text{or, } a \cdot (-b) = -(a \cdot b)$$

Again $a + (-a) = 0$

So, $(a + (-a)) \cdot b = 0 \cdot b = 0$ by (i)

$$\text{or, } a \cdot b + (-a) \cdot b = 0 \quad (\text{right distributive law})$$

$-(a \cdot b) \in R$. Adding $-(a \cdot b)$ to both sides and proceeding as before

$$\text{we have } (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$$

$$\text{Combining, we have } a \cdot (-b) = (-a) \cdot b = -(a \cdot b)$$

(iii) let $p = -a$ Then $p \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} (-a) \cdot (-b) &= p \cdot (-b) \\ &= -(p \cdot b) \quad \text{by (ii)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= -((-a) \cdot b)$$

$$= -(-a \cdot b) \quad \text{by (ii)}$$

$$= a \cdot b \quad (\text{As } a - (-a) = a)$$

This completes the proof.