

Theorem 4. The set of all feasible solutions of an LPP is a convex set.

Proof: Let  $X$  be the set of all feasible solutions of an LPP is a convex set whose constraints are

$$Ax = b, x \geq 0$$

Now if  $x$  and  $y$  be two feasible solutions, that is, ~~are~~

$$x, y \in X. \text{ Then } Ax = b \text{ and } Ay = b, x \geq 0, y \geq 0$$

$$\text{Now } A(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) = \lambda(Ax) + (1-\lambda)Ay = \lambda b + (1-\lambda)b = b \\ \text{for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$$

$$\text{Also, } \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \geq 0 \text{ as } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, x \geq 0, y \geq 0$$

$$\text{So, } \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in X \text{ for } 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$$

So, the set of all feasible solutions is a convex set.

Theorem 5 If any LPP admits of an optimal solution, the objective function assume its optimal value at an extreme point of the convex set of the set of all feasible solutions.

Proof: Since in any LPP, a minimization problem can be converted to a maximization problem, so this theorem is established for maximization problem only.

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$  be a finite set of extreme points of the convex set of all feasible solutions. Now, let  $y$  be an optimal solution of the LPP. and if it can be shown that  $y$  is an extreme point

of the convex set of all feasible solutions, then the theorem is proved.

If possible, let  $y$  be an optimal solution but not an extreme point. So,  $Z_m = cy$  is the optimal value of the objective function  $z$ . Since, by assumption,  $y$  is not an extreme point, so it can be expressed as a convex combination of extreme points.

$$\text{So, } y = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i, \lambda_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, k \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1$$

$$\text{So, } Z_m = cy = c \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i (cx_i)$$

Now if  $c x_p = \max_i (cx_i)$ , where  $x_p$  is an extreme point, then replacing each  $cx_i$  by  $c x_p$ , we have

$$Z_m \leq \sum \lambda_i (c x_p) = c x_p \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = c x_p = Z_p \text{ (max)}$$

Then  $Z_m \leq Z_p$  which contradicts the assumption that  $Z_m$  is optimal value of the objective function. Hence  $y$  must be an extreme point.

**Theorem 6** A basic feasible solution (BFS) to an LPP corresponds to an extreme point of the convex set of feasible solutions.

**Proof:** Let for the system of following equations

$$Ax = b, x \geq 0 \text{ where } A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \text{ (} m < n \text{)}$$

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}, \text{ there are } m \text{ linearly} \dots (1)$$

independent column vectors of  $A$ , say,

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  such that

$$x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + x_m \alpha_m = b \quad \dots (1)$$

now let  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 0, 0, \dots, 0]$  with  $(n-m)$  zeros be a basic feasible solution of (1) and if possible, let  $x$  be not an extreme point of the convex set  $X$  of the feasible solutions of (1). Then  $x$  can be expressed as a convex combination to other points  $u, v \in X$

$$\text{i.e., } x = \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1 \quad \dots (3)$$

Since all the components of  $x$  are non negative (the solution being feasible) with  $(n-m)$  components of it being zero, so,  $u$  and  $v$  must also have  $(n-m)$  zero components.

$$\text{So, let } u = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m, 0, 0, \dots, 0] \text{ and}$$

$$v = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m, 0, 0, \dots, 0]$$

Again since  $u$  and  $v$  are two feasible solutions,

so by (2),

$$u_1 \alpha_1 + u_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + u_m \alpha_m = b$$

$$\text{and } v_1 \alpha_1 + v_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + v_m \alpha_m = b$$

And subtracting these two we get,

$$(u_1 - v_1) \alpha_1 + (u_2 - v_2) \alpha_2 + \dots + (u_m - v_m) \alpha_m = 0$$

Since  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$  are linearly independent, so,

$$u_1 - v_1 = 0, \quad u_2 - v_2 = 0, \quad \dots, \quad u_m - v_m = 0$$

or,  $u_i = v_i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m$ . So, it is not

possible to express  $x$  as a convex combination of two

Other distinct points  $u$  and  $v$  belonging to  $X$  and so  $x$  is an extreme point. Hence the result.

Statement of Fundamental theorem of an LPP:

If any linear programming problem

$$\text{Maximize } Z = c^T x$$

$$\text{Subject to } Ax = b, x \geq 0 \quad \text{where}$$

$$A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n} \quad (m < n) \quad \text{and } c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$$

$$x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n], \quad b = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]$$

admits an optimal solution, then at least one basic feasible solution must be optimal.

Reduction of a feasible solution (FS) to a basic feasible solution (BFS).

Theorem 7: If there be a feasible solution of the system  $Ax = b, x \geq 0$  where  $A = (a_{ij})_{m \times n}$

$x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n], b = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]$  then there is also a basic feasible solution to this system.

Proof: Let  $A = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  are column vectors of  $A$ .

Let  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be a feasible solution of the given system of the equation  $Ax = b, x \geq 0$  let, out of these  $n$  ~~variables~~ components of  $x$ ,  $k$  ~~are~~ be non-zero and the remaining  $(n-k)$  are zero.