

Theorem 3.2.1 Let R be a ring and I be an ideal of R

(i) If J is an ideal of R such that $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$, then J/I is an ideal of R/I

(ii) Every ideal of R/I is equal to M/I for some ideal M of R such that $I \subseteq M \subseteq R$.

Proof: (i) $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$. Since J is an ideal of R , $a, b \in I$

$$\Rightarrow a-b \in J \text{ and } a \in I, r \in R \Rightarrow ra \in J \text{ and } ar \in J$$

$$\text{So, } a, b \in I \Rightarrow a-b \text{ and } a \in J, b \in J \Rightarrow sac \in I \text{ and } ab \in I,$$

since $s, t \in R$ also. This proves that J is an ideal of R and

so, quotient ring J/I exists.

Since $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$, J/I is a non-empty subset of R/I

Let $a+I \in J/I$ and $b+I \in J/I$. Then $a \in J, b \in J$

Since J is an ideal of R , $a-b \in J$ and $a \in J, r \in R$

$$\Rightarrow ra \in J \text{ and } ar \in J.$$

$$\text{So, } (a-b) + I \in J/I \text{ and } ra+I = (r+I)(a+I) \in J/I. \text{ Also}$$

$ar+I = (a+I)(r+I) \in J/I$. This proves that J/I is an ideal

of R/I

(ii) Let T be an ideal of R/I . Let M be a subset of R

defined by $M = \cup \{a\}$. Let $a+I \in T$ and $b+I \in T$. Then
 $a+I \in T$

$a, b \in M$. Since T is an ideal of R/I , $a+I \in T$, $b+I \in T$

$$\Rightarrow (a+I) - (b+I) \in T \text{ and } r+I \in R/I \Rightarrow (a+I)(r+I) \in T$$

and $(r+I)(a+I) \in T$. This shows that $a-b+I \in T \Rightarrow a-b \in M$

Also $ar+I \in T \Rightarrow ar \in M$ and $ra+I \in T \Rightarrow ra \in M$

So, we see that if $a, b \in M$ then $a+b \in M$ and if $a \in M$ and $r \in R$ then $ra \in M$ and $ra+rM \in M$. So, M is an ideal of R containing I . Since I is an ideal of R and M is an ideal of R containing I , I is an ideal of M . So, The quotient ring M/I exists and $T = M/I$

Theorem 3.2.2 In a commutative ring R with unity, an ideal P is a prime ideal if and only if the quotient ring R/P is an integral domain.

Proof: Let P be a prime ideal in the ring R . Since R is a commutative ring with unity, the quotient ring R/P is a commutative ring with unity.

Let $(a+P)(b+P) = 0$ where $a+P, b+P \in R/P$. Then $a, b \in R$

$$(a+P)(b+P) = (ab+P) = 0 \Rightarrow ab \in P \quad (\text{As zero element } 0 \text{ of } R/P \text{ is } P)$$

Since P is a prime ideal, $ab \in P$ implies

either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. So, either $a+P = 0$ or $b+P = 0$

So, the quotient ring R/P contains no divisor of zero. So, it is an integral domain.

Conversely, let P be an ideal in the ring R and the quotient ring R/P is an integral domain.

Let $ab \in P$. Then $ab+P = P$. So, $(a+P)(b+P) = P$.

But P is the zero element of R/P . Since it

is an integral domain \rightarrow So, we have, either

$$a+P = P \text{ or } b+P = P \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a \in P \\ b \in P \end{cases}$$

So, $ab \in P \Rightarrow$ either $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. Hence P is a prime ideal of R . This completes the proof

Theorem 3.2.3 In a commutative ring with unity, an ideal M is a maximal ideal if and only if the quotient ring R/M is a field.

Proof: Let 1 be the unity in R . Let M be a maximal ideal. Then $M \neq R$ and so $1 \notin M$ and the quotient ring R/M is non-trivial. Since R is a commutative ring with unity 1 , the quotient ring R/M is a commutative ring with unity $1+M$. Let $a+M$ be a non-zero element of the ring R/M . Then $a \notin M$. Let T be the principal ideal of R generated by a . Since R is a commutative ring with unity, $T = aR = \{ar : r \in R\}$. $M+T$ is an ideal of R . $a \in T \Rightarrow a \in M+T$. Since $a \notin M$, the ideal M is strictly contained in ~~$M+T$~~ the ideal $M+T$. But M is a maximal ideal of R and so, $M+T = R$. $1 \in R \Rightarrow 1 \in M+T \Rightarrow 1 = b+ar$ for some $b \in M$, some $r \in R$

$$\begin{aligned} 1+M &= (b+ar)+M = (b+M)+(ar+M) = M+(ar+M) \\ &= ar+M = (a+M)(r+M) \end{aligned}$$

Since R/M is a commutative ring, $(a+M)(r+M) = (r+M)(a+M) = 1+M$

This shows that $a+M$ is a unit. So, every non-zero element in ring R/M is a unit and so, R/M is a field.

Conversely, let R/M be a field. Since a field is a non-trivial ring, $M \neq R$. So, the unity in R does not belong to M . $1+M$ is the unity element in the ring R/M . Let U be an ideal of R such that M is a proper subset of U . Let us

choose an element $a \in U$ but $a \notin M$. Then $a+M$ is a non-zero element in the ring R/M . Since R/M is a field, $a+M$ is invertible and therefore there exists a non-zero element $b+M$ in R/M such that

$$(a+M)(b+M) = 1+M. \text{ This implies } ab+M = 1+M$$

$$\text{So, } ab-1 \in M$$

Now $a \in U \Rightarrow ab \in U$, since U is an ideal and $ab-1 \in M \Rightarrow ab-1 \in U$, since M is a proper subset of U . So, $ab-(ab-1) \in U$, i.e., $1 \in U$. So, $U=R$. Thus M is a proper subset of $U \Rightarrow U=R$. Hence M is maximal. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2.4 Every maximal ideal in a commutative ring with unity is a prime ideal.

Proof: Let M be a maximal ideal in a commutative ring R with unity. Then by Theorem 3.2.3, R/M is a field and hence an integral domain. So, by Theorem 3.2.2 M is a prime ideal.

Note 3.2.5 A prime ideal in a commutative ring R with unity may not be a maximal ideal. For example, the null ideal is a prime ideal in the ring \mathbb{Z} but it is not a maximal ideal in the ring \mathbb{Z} .

Note 3.2.6 A maximal ideal in a commutative ring (without unity) may not be a prime ideal. Let consider the ideal $4\mathbb{Z}$ in the ring $2\mathbb{Z}$.