

So, $f((r+n\mathbb{Z})(s+n\mathbb{Z})) = f(rs+n\mathbb{Z}) = \bar{r}\bar{s} = f(r+n\mathbb{Z})f(s+n\mathbb{Z})$
 Hence f is a homomorphism. Hence f is an isomorphism
 Hence $\mathbb{Z}_n \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$

2. Consider the rings \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z}_6 . Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$ be defined by
 $f(n) = \bar{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that f is a homomorphism
 and find $\text{Ker } f$.

Solution: Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $f(m+n) = \overline{m+n} = \bar{m} + \bar{n} = f(m) + f(n)$
 and $f(mn) = \overline{mn} = \bar{m} \cdot \bar{n}$. Hence f is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \text{Ker } f &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : f(n) = \bar{0}\} \\ &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \bar{n} = \bar{0}\} \\ &= \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n = 6k \text{ where } k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \\ &= 6\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

3. Show that the mapping $f: \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$ defined
 by $f(a+b\sqrt{2}) = a+b\sqrt{3}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a group
 homomorphism but not a ring homomorphism

Proof: Let $a+b\sqrt{2}, c+d\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f((a+b\sqrt{2}) + (c+d\sqrt{2})) &= f((a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{2}) \\ &= (a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{3} \\ &= (a+b\sqrt{3}) + (c+d\sqrt{3}) \\ &= f(a+b\sqrt{2}) + f(c+d\sqrt{2}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is a group homomorphism. Clearly
 f is surjective as ^{for} $c+d\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, $\exists c+d\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$
 such that $f(c+d\sqrt{2}) = c+d\sqrt{3}$.

Hence $f(1) = 1$. Then $f(2) = f(1+1) = f(1) + f(1) = 2$

But $f(\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}) = f(2) = 2$

$$\text{But } f(\sqrt{2}) f(\sqrt{2}) = f(0+1 \cdot \sqrt{2}) f(0+1 \cdot \sqrt{2}) = (0+1 \cdot \sqrt{2})(0+1 \cdot \sqrt{2}) = 2$$

So, $f(\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2}) \neq f(\sqrt{2}) \cdot f(\sqrt{2})$

Hence f is not a ring homomorphism.

4. Show that the ring $2\mathbb{Z}$ is not isomorphic to $5\mathbb{Z}$

Proof: Suppose, if possible, \exists a ring isomorphism $f: 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 5\mathbb{Z}$. Hence f is a group isomorphism of $(2\mathbb{Z}, +)$ onto $(5\mathbb{Z}, +)$. But $2\mathbb{Z}$ and $5\mathbb{Z}$ are both cyclic groups. 2 is a generator of $2\mathbb{Z}$. Hence $f(2)$ must be a generator of the group $5\mathbb{Z}$.

Now we know that 5 and -5 are the only generators of $5\mathbb{Z}$. So, $f(2) = 5$ or -5 . Suppose $f(2) = 5$.

$$\text{Then } f(4) = f(2+2) = f(2) + f(2) = 5+5 = 10$$

$$\text{and again, } f(4) = f(2 \cdot 2) = f(2) \cdot f(2) = 5 \cdot 5 = 25$$

This implies $10 = 25$, which is absurd. If $f(2) = -5$, then proceeding in a similar way, we can arrive at some absurd conclusion. Hence \exists no ring isomorphism $f: 2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 5\mathbb{Z}$.

5. Prove that the fields \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} are not isomorphic

Solution: Suppose, if possible, $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an isomorphism from \mathbb{R} onto \mathbb{C} . Then $\exists r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(r) = i$

$$\text{So, } f(r^2) = f(r) \cdot f(r) = i^2 = -1$$

Now since f is an onto homomorphism, $f(1)$ is the

Department of Mathematics, GGDPC Ring Theory & Linear Algebra-1 (SB) Page-71

identity of \mathcal{C} . So, $f(1) = 1$ and hence $f(-1) = -f(1) = -1$ as $f(-a) = -f(a)$. So, $f(r^2) = -1 = f(-1)$. As f is injective, $r^2 = -1$. But there is no such real number r satisfying $r^2 = -1$. So there does not exist any isomorphism from \mathbb{R} onto \mathcal{C} . Hence \mathbb{R} and \mathcal{C} are not isomorphic.

Unit 2 : Linear Algebra

Vector space over a field

Definition 2.1 Let V be a non-empty set and \oplus be a binary operation on V . Let $(F, +, \cdot)$ be a field and let \odot be a mapping from $F \times V$ to V , called scalar multiplication. If $(c, \alpha) \in F \times V$ then $\odot(c, \alpha)$ is denoted by $c \odot \alpha$. \oplus is called vector addition. V is said to be a vector space over the field F if the

following conditions are satisfied:

- V1. $\alpha \oplus \beta \in V$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$ (you need not mention it as it is automatically satisfied since \oplus is a binary operation on V)
- V2. $\alpha \oplus \beta = \beta \oplus \alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$,
- V3. $\alpha \oplus (\beta \oplus \gamma) = (\alpha \oplus \beta) \oplus \gamma$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in V$,
- V4. there exists an element θ in V such that $\alpha \oplus \theta = \theta \oplus \alpha = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in V$,
- V5. for each $\alpha \in V$, there exists an element $-\alpha \in V$ such that $\alpha \oplus (-\alpha) = (-\alpha) \oplus \alpha = \theta$,
- V6. $c \odot \alpha \in V$ for all $\alpha \in V$ (you also need not mention it as it is automatically satisfied since \odot is a mapping from $F \times V$ to V)
- V7. $c \odot (d \odot \alpha) = (c \cdot d) \odot \alpha$ for all $c, d \in F$, all $\alpha \in V$
- V8. $c \odot (\alpha \oplus \beta) = (c \odot \alpha) \oplus (c \odot \beta)$ for all $c \in F$, all $\alpha, \beta \in V$
- V9. $(c + d) \odot \alpha = (c \odot \alpha) \oplus (d \odot \alpha)$ for all $c, d \in F$, all $\alpha \in V$
- V10. $1 \odot \alpha = \alpha$, for all $\alpha \in V$, 1 being the multiplicative identity in F .

Department of Mathematics, GGGDC, Ring Theory & Linear Algebra-1 (SB) Page - 72
 The vector space is denoted by $(V, F, +, \cdot, \oplus, \odot)$. The elements of V are called vectors and the elements of F are called scalars. F is called the ground field or field of scalars of the vector space.

Four symbols $+$, \cdot , \oplus , \odot denote four different mappings;
 $+: F \times F \rightarrow F$, $\cdot: F \times F \rightarrow F$, $\oplus: V \times V \rightarrow V$, $\odot: F \times V \rightarrow V$.

From now on, we write for both $+$ and \oplus , the symbol $+$, for both \cdot and \odot , the symbol \cdot . We also sometimes denote $c \cdot d$ by cd and $c \odot \alpha$ by $c\alpha$.

So, with this new notation, a non-empty set V is said to be a vector space over a field F if there is a binary operation $+$ on V , called vector addition, satisfying the following ~~properties~~ conditions:

- V1. $\alpha + \beta \in V$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$
- V2. $\alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$
- V3. $\alpha + (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in V$
- V4. \exists an element $\theta \in V$ such that
 $\alpha + \theta = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in V$
- V5. For each $\alpha \in V$, \exists an element $-\alpha \in V$ such that
 $\alpha + (-\alpha) = \theta$

and there is also a mapping \cdot from $F \times V$ to V , called scalar multiplication, satisfying the conditions

- V6. $c\alpha \in V$ for all $c \in F$ and $\alpha \in V$
- V7. $c(d\alpha) = (cd)\alpha$ for all $c, d \in F$ and for all $\alpha \in V$
- V8. $c(\alpha + \beta) = c\alpha + c\beta$ for all $c \in F$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in V$
- V9. $(c+d)\alpha = c\alpha + d\alpha$ for all $c, d \in F$ and for all $\alpha \in V$
- V10. $1\alpha = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in V$, 1 being the multiplicative identity in F .