

$\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ for V . We claim that

$S = \{T(v_{k+1}), T(v_{k+2}), \dots, T(v_n)\}$ is a basis for $R(T)$.

First we show that S generates $R(T)$, that is,

$$R(T) = \text{span}(S) (= L(S))$$

Using Theorem 3.1.3 and the fact that $T(v_i) = \theta'$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(T) &= \text{span}(\{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}) \\ &= \text{span}(\{T(v_{k+1}), T(v_{k+2}), \dots, T(v_n)\}) \\ &= \text{span}(S) \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove that S is linearly independent.

Suppose that $\sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i T(v_i) = \theta$ for $b_{k+1}, b_{k+2}, \dots, b_n \in F$

Using the fact that T is linear, we have

$$T\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i v_i\right) = \theta \quad \text{So,} \quad \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i v_i \in N(T)$$

Hence $\exists c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k \in F$ such that

$$\sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i \quad \text{or,} \quad \sum_{i=1}^k (-c_i) v_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n b_i v_i = \theta$$

Since β is a basis for V , we have

$b_i = 0$ for all $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Hence S is

linearly independent. So, $\text{rank}(T) = n - k$.

Hence $\text{rank}(T) + \text{nullity}(T) = \dim(V)$.

— If we apply the dimension theorem to the linear transformation T in Example 9, we have $\text{nullity}(T) + 2 = 3$, so, $\text{nullity}(T) = 1$

Theorem 3.1.6 Let V and W be vector spaces, and let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be linear. Then T is one-to-one if and only if $N(T) = \{0\}$.

Proof: Suppose that T is one-to-one and $x \in N(T)$. Then

$T(x) = 0 = T(0)$. Since T is one-to-one, $x = 0$. Hence

$N(T) = \{0\}$. Now we assume that $N(T) = \{0\}$,

and suppose that $T(x) = T(y)$. Then $0 = T(x) - T(y) = T(x-y)$ by property 3 of Page-106. So, $x-y \in N(T) = \{0\}$. So, $x-y = 0$, or $x=y$. This implies T is

one-to-one.

—
Theorem 3.1.6 allows us to conclude that the transformation defined in Example 9 is not one-to-one.

Theorem 3.1.7 Let V and W be vector spaces of equal (finite) dimension, and let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be linear. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) T is one-to-one
- (b) T is onto
- (c) $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(V)$

Proof: From the dimension theorem, we have

$$\text{nullity}(T) + \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V).$$

Now, by the use of Theorem 3.1.6, we have that T is one-to-one if and only if $N(T) = \{0\}$, if and only if $\text{nullity}(T) = 0$, if and only if $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(V)$, if and only if $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(W)$, and if and only if

$\dim(R(T)) = \dim W$. As $R(T)$ is a subspace of W . So,

$R(T) = W$, ~~So~~ and this is the definition of T

(ii) being onto.

Example 11 Let $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P_3(\mathbb{R})$ be the linear transformation

defined by $T(f(x)) = 2f'(x) + \int_0^x 3f(t) dt$

now $R(T) = \text{span}(\{T(1), T(x), T(x^2)\}) = \text{span}(\{3x, 2 + \frac{3}{2}x^2, 4x + x^3\})$.

Since $\{3x, 2 + \frac{3}{2}x^2, 4x + x^3\}$ is linearly independent,

$\text{rank}(T) = 3$. Since $\dim(P_3(\mathbb{R})) = 4$, T is not onto.

From the dimension theorem, $\text{nullity}(T) + 3 = 3$. So,

$\text{Nullity}(T) = 0$, and therefore, $N(T) = \{\emptyset\}$. We conclude

from Theorem 3.1.6 that T is one-to-one.

Example 12 Let $T: F^2 \rightarrow F^2$ be the linear transformation defined

by $T(a_1, a_2) = (a_1 + a_2, a_1)$

It is easy to see that $N(T) = \{\emptyset\}$ (check it). So, T is

one-to-one. Hence Theorem 3.1.7 tells us T must be onto.

now we can prove this result: If T is linear and one-to-one then a subset S is linearly independent if and only if $T(S)$ is linearly independent. (Prove it.)

Example 13 illustrate the use of this result.

Example 13

Let $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be the linear transformation

defined by $T(a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2) = (a_0, a_1, a_2)$.

Clearly, T is linear and one-to-one (Prove it).

Let $S = \{2-x+3x^2, x+x^2, 1-2x^2\}$. Then S is linearly independent in $P_2(\mathbb{R})$ because

$T(S) = \{(2, -1, 3), (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, -2)\}$ is linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^3 .

One of the most important properties of a linear transformation is that it is completely determined by its action on a basis.

Theorem 3.1.8 Let V and W be vector spaces over

F , and suppose that $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis

for V . For w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n in W , there exists exactly one linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow W$ such that

$$T(v_i) = w_i \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof: Let $x \in V$. Then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i v_i, \text{ where } a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \text{ are unique scalars.}$$

Define $T: V \rightarrow W$ by $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i$

(a) T is linear: Suppose that $u, v \in V$ and $d \in F$.

Then we may write $u = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i v_i$ and $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i$

for some scalars $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$. Thus

$$du + v = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i d + c_i) v_i. \text{ So,}$$

$$T(du + v) = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_i d + c_i) w_i = d \sum_{i=1}^n d_i w_i + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i w_i = dT(u) + T(v).$$