

the eigenspaces E_{λ_1} and E_{λ_2} respectively. So,

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \text{ is an ordered basis for } \mathbb{R}^2,$$

consisting of eigenvectors of A . Let

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ be the matrix whose columns are the}$$

vectors in \mathcal{V} . Then, ~~by the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem~~

$$Q^{-1} A Q = D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

To find A^n for any positive integer n , observe that

$$A = Q D Q^{-1}. \text{ So,}$$

$$A^n = (Q D Q^{-1})^n = (Q D Q^{-1})(Q D Q^{-1}) \dots (Q D Q^{-1}) = Q D^n Q^{-1}$$

$$= Q \begin{pmatrix} 1^n & 0 \\ 0 & 2^n \end{pmatrix} Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 2 - 2^n & 2 - 2^{n+1} \\ -1 + 2^n & -1 + 2^{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.14 Invariant subspaces and the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

Definition 2.14.1 Let T be a linear operator on a vector space V . A subspace W of V is called a T -invariant subspace of V if $T(W) \subseteq W$, that is, if $T(v) \in W$ for all $v \in W$.

Example 1 Suppose that T is a linear operator on a vector space V . Then the following subspaces of V are

T -invariant:

1. $\{0\}$

2. V

3. $R(T)$

4. $N(T)$

5. E_{λ} , for any eigenvalue of T . (Prove it)

Example 2 Let T be a linear operator on \mathbb{R}^3 defined by

$$T(a, b, c) = (a+b, b+c, 0)$$

Then the xy -plane = $\{(x, y, 0) : x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and the x -axis = $\{(x, 0, 0) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ are T -invariant subspaces of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Definition 2.14.2 . Let T be a linear operator on a vector space V , and let x be a non-zero vector in V . The

subspace $W = \text{span}(\{x, T(x), T^2(x), \dots\})$ is called the T -cyclic subspace of V generated by x . It can

be verified (verify it) that W is T -invariant. In fact, W is the "smallest" T -invariant subspace

of V containing x . That is, any T -invariant subspace of V containing x must also contain W (Exercise).

Cyclic subspaces have various uses. We apply them in this section to establish Cayley-Hamilton

Theorem.

Example 3 Let T be the linear operator on \mathbb{R}^3 defined by

$$T(a, b, c) = (-b+c, a+c, 3c).$$

We determine the T -cyclic subspace generated by

$$e_1 = (1, 0, 0). \text{ Since } T(e_1) = T(1, 0, 0) = (0, 1, 0) = e_2$$

$$\text{and } T^2(e_1) = T(T(e_1)) = T(e_2) = (-1, 0, 0) = -e_1, \text{ it}$$

follows that $\text{span}(\{e_1, T(e_1), T^2(e_1), \dots\}) = \text{span}(\{e_1, e_2\})$

$$= \{(s, t, 0) : s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Example 4 Let T be the linear operator on $P(\mathbb{R})$

defined by $T(f(x)) = f'(x)$. Then the T -cyclic subspace generated by x^2 is $\text{span}(\{x^2, x, 1\}) = P_2(\mathbb{R})$.

The existence of a T -invariant subspace provides the opportunity to define a linear operator whose domain is this subspace.

If T is a linear operator on V and W is a T -invariant subspace of V , then the restriction T_W of T to W is a mapping from W to W , and it follows that T_W is a linear operator on W (Exercise). As a linear operator, T_W inherits certain properties from its parent operator T . The following result illustrates one way in which the two operators are linked.

Theorem 2.14.3 Let T be a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space, and let W be a T -invariant subspace of V . Then the characteristic polynomial of T_W divides the characteristic polynomial of T .

Proof: Choose an ordered basis $\gamma = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ of W and extend it to an ordered basis

$\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ of V . Let $A = [T]_\beta$

and $B_1 = [T_W]_\gamma$. Then A can be written in the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $f(t)$ be characteristic polynomial of T and $g(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of T_W . Then

$$f(t) = \det(A - tI_n) = \det \begin{pmatrix} B_1 - tI_k & B_2 \\ 0 & B_3 - tI_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

$= g(t) \cdot \det(B_3 - tI_{n-k})$, So, $g(t)$ divides $f(t)$.

Example 5 Let T be a linear operator on \mathbb{R}^4 defined by

$$T(a, b, c, d) = (a+b+2c-d, b+d, 2c-d, c+d)$$

and let $W = \{(t, s, 0, 0) : t, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Observe that

W is a T -invariant subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 because for

any vector $(a, b, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$T(a, b, 0, 0) = (a+b, b, 0, 0) \in W$$

let $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2\}$ where $e_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1, 0, 0)$.

Then \mathcal{B} is an ordered basis for W . Extend \mathcal{B}

to the standard ordered basis β of \mathbb{R}^4 .

$$\text{Then } B_1 = [TW]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } A = [T]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{in the}$$

notation of Theorem 2.14.3. Let $f(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of T and $g(t)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $T|_W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \det(A - tI_4) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1-t & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2-t & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 1 \\ 0 & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 2-t & -1 \\ 1 & 1-t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= g(t) \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} 2-t & -1 \\ 1 & 1-t \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Q.E.D.} \end{aligned}$$